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Abstract

The need to effectively manage IT resources such that they enhance the business value of firms makes IT governance (ITG) an important issue for both IS researchers and practitioners. The purpose of this paper is to build a conceptual framework for ITG in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). We first analyze the main theories applied in ITG research, and confront them with the specificities of SMEs. We then highlight the limits of those theories in SMEs context and discuss adaptations needed or alternative theories in such context. The resulting framework is then applied to generate a set of six research propositions on ITG in SMEs.

1. Introduction

Given that some organizations achieve higher performance with their IT investments while others fail to do so [1], the issue that matters now is to know under which conditions organizations create value from their IT [2]. It has been argued that IT governance (ITG), which “is about controlling the strategic impact of IT and its value delivery to the business” [3, p. 543] can make the difference [4,5,6]. The need to effectively manage IT resources so that they can enhance the business value of firms make of ITG an important issue and yet an uneasy task [7]. Indeed, the most important IT challenges faced by organizations now and in the future are less related to technology than to governance [5].

The literature related to ITG in particular, and to corporate governance (CG) in general, has mobilized and proposed different theories and frameworks to explain the mechanisms of governance. However, most of those theories and frameworks are often criticized as being more appropriate for large enterprises (LEs) and less for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), hence the call for revisiting existing theories and frameworks or for proposing alternative ones with in mind the specific realities of SMEs [8]. SMEs are increasingly adopting IT for their strategic activities, and ITG can help SMEs make the appropriate IT choices to create business value and improve performance [6]. Given the important consequences IT can have on growth and survival of SMEs in a competitive market, we argue that ITG for SMEs is a necessity and must be researched.

The purpose of this paper is to build a conceptual framework for ITG in SMEs. To do so, we proceed in three phases. First, we analyze theories generally applied in ITG literature with in mind the specificities of SMEs, and we bring out salient limits of these theories with regards to the realities of SMEs. Second, we identify adaptations necessary to these theories to account for the SME’s realities, and alternative theories better suited to its context. Third, building on the results of the precedent analysis we propose a conceptual framework for IT governance in SMEs and related propositions that can be used as hypotheses for future research.

2. Theoretical and Empirical Background

In the literature, corporate governance (CG) is generally conceptualized with reference to rights and responsibilities of different stakeholders in the firm, to the relationships among stakeholders with regards to the decision-making process, resolution of possible conflicts, and control of organizational resources, and to the means for setting corporate objectives and monitoring performance [9]. The OECD has proposed the following definition of CG which seems to have gained widespread popularity [10, p. 32]: “a set of relationships between a company’s management, its board, its shareholders and other stakeholders that provides the structure through which the objectives of the company are set, and the means of attaining those objectives and monitoring performance are determined”.

IT governance has emerged since the 1990s as a conceptualization of steering the use of IT within a
company [3, p. 543]. It is now acknowledged that ITG is the responsibility of top management and an integral part of corporate governance [11]. This view is integrated in frameworks such as CoBIT 5 that establish good practices for ITG. Definitions of ITG in the literature refer implicitly to the principal-agent problem which is central in the dominant agency theory in CG literature. In this perspective, a parallel is made between the alignment of executives’ decisions to the owners’ interests in CG and the alignment of IT management practices to the firm’s needs in ITG. We define ITG as follows: ITG, a responsibility of top-management and an integral part of corporate governance, encompasses decision rights and accountability framework for encouraging desirable behavior in the use of IT, and ensuring that IT goals and objectives are realized in an efficient and effective manner” (adapted from [11,12]).

2.1. SMEs’ specificity regarding CG and ITG

Early previous studies have pointed out SMEs’ specificities [13,14,15]. Bili and Raymond [16] grouped these specificities in five categories: environmental, organizational, decisional, psychosociological, and informational. Does the specificity of SMEs hold in the context of CG and ITG respectively? Can a SME embrace CG and ITG mechanisms and then keep the distinctive features of SMEs? At first sight, CG and ITG would appear counterintuitive for SMEs, at least as they have been applied in ITG in large enterprises (LEs). The problem of applying this reasoning in the context of SMEs, at least as it has been applied in ITG in large enterprises (LEs) is threefold. First, this reasoning is based on the principal/agent problems that are less likely to happen in SMEs due to the overlapping of management and ownership in those firms [8,21]; although it could apply in cases such as the relationship between an SME and an IT outsourcing service provider. The second consideration that makes the agency theory less appropriate to the SMEs’ context is that structures that are referred to in proposed governance mechanisms inadequately mirror the ones found in LEs as if SMEs were just scaled down LEs [19]. The third consideration is that, due to the small size of SMEs, the information asymmetry is

2.2. Theories applied in CG and ITG research

Different theories applied into CG research have been applied in ITG research as well. The theories discussed in this paper results from a literature review conducted by the authors. They do not pretend in the completeness of the list of existing theories; nevertheless, they have tried and are confident that they have covered the main and most referred to theories. The main theories are agency theory, stakeholder theory, power perspective, stewardship theory, resource dependency theory, and institutional theory [18]. Agency theory is, however, the overwhelmingly dominant school of thought both in academic research and practice [10]. In the following sub-sections we briefly present each theory, succinctly analyze its specific application in ITG empirical studies, before assessing its level of suitability to SMEs’ realities. Alternative theories that are more or less applicable to ITG in the context of SMEs are thus summarily presented in Tables 1 and 2.

2.2.1 Agency theory. This theory focuses on problems arising from separation of ownership and control [19]. The agency theory embraces a narrow, shareholder-centric view of CG, which reflects the traditional finance paradigm of shareholder value maximization as the main (if not the sole) goal of corporate management [9]. Following agency theory reasoning, studies in ITG have analyzed the relationships between, on one hand ownership and control structures of the firm, and on the other hand the IT performance [12,20].

Table 1. Theories with lower applicability of ITG in SMEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>Key issues with regard to ITG mechanisms</th>
<th>Reasons why lower applicability to SMEs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency theory</td>
<td>• Some cases of IT outsourcing</td>
<td>• Principal / Agent problems less likely [8,21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Reference to unlikely structures [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Low information asymmetry [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder theory</td>
<td>• Predominant role of owner-manager</td>
<td>• Suboptimal outcomes [8,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power perspective</td>
<td>• Predominant role of owner-manager</td>
<td>• Lower levels of power playing (no entrenched groups) [26]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The problem of applying this reasoning in the context of SMEs, at least as it has been applied in ITG in large enterprises (LEs) is threefold. First, this reasoning is based on the principal/agent problems that are less likely to happen in SMEs due to the overlapping of management and ownership in those firms [8,21]; although it could apply in cases such as the relationship between an SME and an IT outsourcing service provider. The second consideration that makes the agency theory less appropriate to the SMEs’ context is that structures that are referred to in proposed governance mechanisms inadequately mirror the ones found in LEs as if SMEs were just scaled down LEs [19]. The third consideration is that, due to the small size of SMEs, the information asymmetry is
very low [23]. Information asymmetry is at the basis of opportunistic behaviors that agency theory-related governance mechanisms seek to thwart. Therefore we consider that agency theory does not apply well to SMEs.

Table 2. Theories with higher applicability of ITG in SMEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>Key issues with regard to ITG mechanisms</th>
<th>Reasons why higher applicability to SMEs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stewardship theory</td>
<td>• No need for agency-based control mechanisms</td>
<td>• Convergence of ownership and management [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Empowerment of owner-managers and key employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource dependency theory</td>
<td>• Role of IT external partners • Role of outside and independent directors</td>
<td>• Limited internal resources [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional theory</td>
<td>• Institutional pressures towards ITG mechanisms adoption</td>
<td>• Pressures towards IT innovations adoption from the SME’s networks [28,29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper echelon theory</td>
<td>• IT-related roles and responsibilities for owner-manager • IT champion among key employees</td>
<td>• Predominance of owner-managers [21,30] • Important role of key employees [21,30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional trust theory</td>
<td>• Moderating effect of institutional trust (between a SME and its external IT partner) on the adoption of ITG mechanisms.</td>
<td>• Partnership based on trust [4] • Limited resources for agency-based controls implementation [31].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Stakeholder theory. While agency theory focuses on the sole dual relationship between managers and shareholders, the stakeholder theory broadens constituencies, adding to managers and shareholders other groups of actors that may have a direct or indirect stake at the firm’s operations [32,33]. Stakeholder theory is inherently inscribed into ITG: many ITG definitions “explicitly or implicitly refer to stakeholders either as ends of or as contributors to the ITG activities” [34, p. 981] [35,36]. The stakeholder theory has been criticized as a perspective that can lead SMEs to implement policies with sub-optimal outcomes [24,25]. The pursuit of divergent stakeholders’ interests such as environmental versus financial is less of an issue in SMEs than in LEs. As a “definitive stakeholder”, the SME owner-manager is the most salient among all stakeholders, that is, the one whose claims will be given priority in ITG [37, p. 873]. Therefore the stakeholder theory does not apply so well to the reality of SMEs with regards to ITG.

2.2.3 Power perspective. The power perspective is used in corporate governance to analyze potential conflicts of interests that may arise among different stakeholders, notably among executives, directors, and shareholders [43]. With regard to ITG, the power perspective is referred to analyze the relative influence of IT function comparatively to the influence of other business units in IT-related decision processes [42, 44]. The potential conflicts opposing executives, directors, and shareholders that are at the core of the power perspective theory [45] are less likely to be observed in SMEs. Thus, this theory does not capture well the reality of SMEs with regards to ITG.

2.2.4 Stewardship theory. This theory is based on an assumption opposite to the agency theory’s premise of opportunistic behavior as inherent to human nature. According to the stewardship theory, managers are naturally trustworthy, and seek to be good stewards of the corporate assets [19,38,39]. With regard to ITG specifically, McGinnis et al. [40] argue that stewardship theory may be more consistent with the nature of ITG, even more than the agency theory is. The stewardship theory fits very well in the context of SMEs. Indeed, due to overlapping of ownership and control in most SMEs, managers’ interests are perfectly aligned with shareholders’ interests [21].

2.2.5 Resource dependency theory. This theory is used in CG to explain the role of board of directors as a link to access to further resources available in the firm’s environment [38]. The resource-dependency theory can be and has been specifically applied to ITG [41,42]. The resource-dependency theory fits well to the context of SMEs, particularly because most SMEs depend on external IT expertise [4].

2.2.6 Institutional theory. This theory considers that organizations are not just economic systems motivated by the pursuit of economic efficiency and performance, but are also social and cultural systems that seek to gain legitimacy in their environment by adjusting themselves to regulations, norms and values [18,46].

Institutional theory acknowledges the influence of an organization’s environment on its IT structures and practices. More precisely, the intensity of institutional pressures will affect the IT department power, and will influence an organization’s ITG patterns [42]. Moreover, due to their size and to their limited resources, SMEs may be more influenced by their environment than LEs. Hence the suitability of institutional theory application for analyzing the adoption of ITG practices in the SME’s context [28,29,47,48].

Among alternative theories that can be mobilized to study ITG in SMEs, and that are more appropriate ones are upper echelon theory [21] and institutional trust theory [4].

2.2.7 Upper echelon theory. According to upper echelon theory, the characteristics of top-level managers play a crucial role in determining strategic organizational outcomes and processes [27]. The small size and the structural flexibility of SMEs intensify the involvement of top managers in almost all of the firm’s activities, and their influence is thus stronger than it can be in LEs [21].

2.2.8. Institutional trust theory. This theory is an interesting alternative theoretical perspective to agency theory and it is suitable to the context of SMEs as well. Instead of relying on agency-based control mechanisms to deter opportunistic behavior in IT collaboration relationships, SMEs may develop a partnership based on trust [4, p. 210]. Trust reduces the need to resort to structured controls or to comprehensive outcome-based contracts, for which SMEs may not be well-equipped to deal with [31].

From the upper echelon theoretical perspective, any analysis of ITG mechanisms in SMEs should take into account two main actors: the SME’s owner-manager, and its key employees. The level of trust between a SME and its external IT partners will moderate the need for the above-mentioned main actors to put in place elaborated ITG mechanisms.

3. Conceptual Framework

The preceding analysis shows that when analyzing ITG in SMEs, researchers need to take into account theories that are more appropriate for SMEs. Building on such theories as discussed in the precedent section we propose a conceptual framework of IT governance in SMEs, presented in Figure 1. In this framework, the SME’s owner-manager and key (internal) employees are the main actors. The inclusion of the SME’s owner-manager characteristics in the conceptual framework of ITG in SMEs is based on upper echelon theory and stakeholder theory, which both acknowledge the SME’s owner-manager’s tight grip on any major organizational activities and decisions. Besides, the primary role played by owner-managers in the context of SMEs is unanimously acknowledged in the small business literature. The inclusion of the SME’s key employees is based on upper echelon theory as well: key employees’ influence is inversely proportional to the size of their organization, so the role of key employees in any major decisions would be greater in SMEs than in LEs.

![Figure 1. Conceptual framework of ITG in SMEs](image)

The proposed framework also assumes that in their decision with regard to ITG mechanisms, the SME’s owner-manager and key employees will be to some extent influenced by its external links. This assumption is based concurrently on the resource-dependency theory, the institutional theory, and the theory of institutional trust. In accordance with the resource-dependency theory, the access to external resources through either independent and outside directors or IT external partners would influence the SME’s owner-manager and key employees with respect to their ITG decisions. According to institutional theory, mimetic, normative, and coercive pressures would be exerted on the SME’s main actors towards the implementation of the same ITG mechanisms adopted by organizations evolving in the SME’s environment. As for the theory of institutional trust, it allows to take into account the moderating effect of trust between a SME and its IT external partners on the level of ITG mechanisms implementation: high levels of trust reduce the need for owner-managers and key employees to implement agency theory-inspired ITG mechanisms.

So, the proposed conceptual framework is composed with five main groups of factors. At the center, there is the group of ITG mechanisms. Their adoption is directly or indirectly determined by three
groups of factors: the SME’s owner-manager’s characteristics, its key employees’ characteristics and its external links. IT business value, as the outcome of ITG mechanisms, is the last group.

3.1. IT governance mechanisms in SMEs

ITG literature generally classifies ITG mechanisms into three dimensions: structures, processes, and participants [49,50,51]. Sometimes, the last dimension is referred to as relational mechanisms [6,52,53]. The structure dimension emphasizes control and coordination and refers to the organization of IT function, to its roles and responsibilities, brief, to the formal and rational units and mechanisms put in place to carry out IT-related decisions and activities in organizations; structure refers to the locus of authority with regards to IT decision-making, in other words it determines who act and with which resources [49]. The processes dimension emphasizes control and sustainable capability, and refers to tools, techniques, frameworks or standards combined to ensure IT-Business strategic alignment and to track IT performance achievements. The participants dimension refers to the persons at various levels and functions who take part in leadership, training and sharing, who actively participate, collaborate, communicate, and get involved in order to disseminate IT-related policies, principles and outcomes.

Some highly-formalized IT governance mechanisms are less suitable to rather organic and loosely structured SMEs [13]. Basic ITG mechanisms are likely to be found in SMEs. These ITG mechanisms are not necessarily denaturing. Structure-related ITG mechanisms such as CIO on board and IT steering committee [53] are less likely to be in place in SMEs, as their structural IT governance capability is likely to be assumed by the owner-manager. Clear IT-related roles and responsibilities of the owner-manager are thus necessary as structural governance mechanism in this case [6]. Instead of the formal CIO function, a SME is likely to rely on an IT champion, a much more informal role and yet a very important one for its IT-related activities [54]. SMEs may also rely on external expertise to compensate their lack of expertise internally. The IT champion will play a determinant role in the relationship between a SME and its IT external expert.

For process-related mechanisms such as externally developed standards, tools, techniques, or frameworks like COBIT, ITIL, ISO17799 [51] which are highly formalized and certification oriented, SMEs are not either well equipped. Without necessary resorting to highly developed tools, SMEs have to find ways to align their IT projects to their strategic business and to track IT business value creation. Otherwise, overwhelmed by operational imperatives as they tend to be, SMEs may lose sight of long term and strategic imperatives in their IT decision-making. So, one would consider IT strategic alignment and IT performance tracking as key process-related IT governance mechanisms in SMEs.

As for participants, relational mechanisms such as business/IT co-location and active participation and collaboration between key stakeholders do not need to be formally implemented in SMEs as they are naturally evident in such context [6]. However, for an effective collaboration between participants [55], people involved in IT governance need to develop mutual understanding which can be reached through IT training, and proper communication for developing awareness and understanding of business/IT objectives.

3.2. Antecedents of ITG mechanisms

In our framework, the adoption of ITG mechanisms is directly determined by both SME owner-manager’s characteristics and key employees’ characteristics, and indirectly affected by SME’s external links. In other words, the relationship between, on one hand the owner-manager’s characteristics and the key employees’ characteristics, and on the other hand the adoption of ITG mechanisms is moderated by the SME’s external links.

3.2.1 The SME’s owner-manager. Studies on SMEs have always acknowledged the central role of the entrepreneur or owner-manager in shaping the firm. As the principal stakeholder concurrently assuming multiple roles, he/she is involved in almost if not all major decisions that affect the firm for better or worse. His/her characteristics will then be determinant. Banking on previous studies, we put these characteristics in three main categories: IT-related competencies [56,57,58,59], interpersonal and management-related competencies [60,61], and personal values, beliefs, and norms [39,62].

IT-related competencies of an owner-manager are important in that they will shape not only his/her understanding of opportunities of IT usage in business activities, but also his or her expectations with regards to how IT activities should be managed. These understanding and expectations will lead to the IT adoption decision, and more important, to the creation of facilitating conditions for the actual IT implementation and use [58]. IT governance mechanisms can be viewed as facilitating conditions meant to leverage IT for achieving business goals.

Interpersonal and management-related competencies will help the owner-manager to effectively communicate his/her vision of ITG
mechanisms, motivate and get employees to adhere to
that vision, overcome change resistance, and meet the
scope, time, and goal objectives throughout the
implementation phases of ITG mechanisms. Adapting
Kraemmergaard and Rose’s [61] and Bassellier and
Benbasat’s [60] definitions to the context of ITG, we
retain leadership, interpersonal communication, human
resource, change management, and project
management as part of interpersonal and management-
related competencies.

Another determinant factor that would sway an
owner-manager’s decision in favor or not of ITG
mechanisms adoption is the level of compatibility
between these mechanisms and his or her values,
beliefs, and norms. The notion of compatibility, which
refers to the perception of consistence of an innovation
(ITG mechanisms in this case) with regards to values,
experiences, beliefs, and needs of would-be adopters,
is important, especially in small firms [62]. Owner-
managers will obviously willingly push for adoption of
ITG mechanisms that are compatible with their values,
beliefs, and norms.

Considering the precedent considerations, the
following proposition can be stated:
P1. Greater competencies (IT-related, interpersonal
and management-related) of the SME’s owner-
manager and higher levels of perceived compatibility
of his or her values, beliefs, and norms with ITG
mechanisms will positively influence the
implementation of ITG mechanisms in a SME.

3.2.2 The SME’s key employees. Notwithstanding the
key role of the entrepreneur or the owner-manager in
all major decisions, key employees’ influence in SMEs
is likely to be paramount. This major influence stems
from the fact that small firms tend to be structured
around key employees’ abilities and interests [13]. It is
due to the small size and organizational flexibility
of SMEs [21] that do not restrict key employees in
narrowly-defined roles. The paramount influence of the
SMEs’ key employees is in line with the upper echelon
theory [27]. The characteristics of a SME’s key
employees have to be taken into consideration in order
to understand the adoption of ITG mechanisms in the
firm. Based on previous studies [61,63], these
characteristics are grouped into three main categories:
(1) IT technical skills, (2) business competencies, and
(3) values, beliefs, and norms.

At the level of key employees, IT technical skills
required are more than a certain level of comfort with
IT as is the case for owner-manager’s IT knowledge.
IT technical skills of key employees are a reflection
of their IT-related explicit knowledge with regards to
technology, applications, system development, IT
management, access to IT knowledge. IT technical
skills also include their IT-related tacit knowledge, that
is experience in IT projects and experience in the
management of IT [63]. While owner-manager’s IT
knowledge play a role in the decision to adopt IT, IT
technical skills at the key employees level are
indispensable for the actual implementation and
effective use of IT [29]. We would here assume the
same pattern applies to ITG mechanisms adoption and
implementation.

So, for this study we define business competencies
of key employees as the knowledge and skills of key
employees of a SME related to their understanding the
business domain and the specific organizational
context. The business domain-specific competencies
refer to the knowledge of the production system of a
firm’s industry, the ability to recognize the firm’s
challenges and opportunities, including the potential
of IT leverage for enhancing business processes. The
organization-specific competencies are about the acute
acquaintance of the firm’s functioning (e.g. power
distribution, structures), specificities (e.g. culture and
history), and partnership. Key employees with stronger
business competencies (business domain-specific and
organizational-specific) are more likely to better
understand the potential of IT usage in business
activities and the necessity to adopt ITG mechanisms
for achieving higher IT business value.

As in the case of owner-managers, values, beliefs,
and norms of key employees of a SME will affect ITG
mechanisms adoption. Shared cognitive characteristics
(such as values, beliefs, and norms) will be conducive
to consensus among team members which in turn will
facilitate the introduction of strategic change in SMEs
[21]. This can be seen as a positive factor for ITG
mechanisms adoption. But according to institutional
trust theory, shared values and norms may reduce the
need of formalized mechanisms [4]. So, we would
argue that shared values, beliefs, and norms among the
SME’s key employees will accelerate the process of
ITG mechanisms adoption (pace) while reducing the
diversity of ITG mechanisms adopted. We would also
argue that the SME’s key employees will favor the
adoption of ITG mechanisms they deem compatible
with their values, beliefs, and norms over others
deemed less compatible.

From the above developments, the two following
propositions can be stated:
P2. Greater competencies (IT technical skills, business
competencies) of the SME’s key employees and higher
levels of perceived compatibility of their values,
beliefs, and norms with ITG mechanisms will
positively influence the implementation of ITG
mechanisms in a SME.
P3. The more the values, beliefs, and norms are shared
among a SME’s key employees, the more a SME will
easily adopt and implement ITG mechanisms (quick
pace), and the less diversified ITG mechanisms will be.
3.2.3. The SME’s external links. In SMEs, decisions are mainly made by the owner-manager assisted by key employees. However, in their decision-making process, they may be swayed one way or another by external stakeholders. Following the literature review, we retained three sources of influence that can affect the decision to adopt IT governance mechanisms: outside and independent directors, institutional pressures, and IT external partnership.

We have already underscored that, in most SMEs, board of directors are either inexist or purely formal, or sometimes used to serve the owner-manager’s purposes [22,23,26]. Yet, in accordance with the resource-dependency theory, having a board on which sit a number of external directors increases a firm’s exposure to external environment networks and its convenient access to valuable resources [64]. The latter’s independence vis-à-vis the owner-manager (and key employees) gives them much more latitude in their supervision role of the firm’s management. So, SMEs are compelled to adopt boards with a great number of outside and independent directors [24]. This would prevent them from developing “a myopic and narrow view” that stall change [21, p. 299]. Outside and independent directors would influence owner-managers and key employees towards ITG mechanisms adoption.

Institutional theory [46] posits that changes in organizations are determined more by external or environmental pressures than “rational” decisions by internal actors (owner-managers and key employees). In accordance with the institutional perspective, it has been found that the diffusion of corporate social responsibilities in SMEs is linked to the extent at which these SMEs are embedded in a network of local socio-economic institutions [39]. Similarly, a SME’s network ties will play a role in deciding the owner-manager and key employees to embrace ITG mechanisms that are in place in other organizations within the network. Besides, inter-organizational exchanges entail task and function interdependence that requires a great deal of coordination [49]. Challenges related to the coordination of business partners which are more or less different with regard to various organizational aspects (strategy, processes, structure, IT infrastructure and architecture) incite each other to put in place governance mechanisms [49]. In the same vein, compared to the local SMEs, world-class SMEs would need more IT governance mechanisms due to their being involved in more extended networks [65].

It is almost a truism to say that most SMEs lack internal IT expertise. To compensate this, they mainly rely on IT external expertise. Somehow, this dependence put a SME in a situation of inter-organizational IT governance. Studying profiles of inter-organizational ITG, Croteau, Bergeron, and Dubsky [50, p. 36] proposed a continuum with at one end the contractual profile, and at the other, the consensual profile: in contractual profiles, “organizations are involved in a legal relationship with their outsourcers”, while consensual profiles are characterized by “a collaborative and cooperative approach”. Potential opportunistic behaviors are fought mainly through the formalization of commitments in contractual profiles, and through mutual trust in consensual profiles. Contractual profiles are consistent with agency theory according to which, as previously stated, a SME would adopt ITG mechanisms to deter opportunistic behavior from the external expert. We have seen however, in accordance with the theory of institutional trust, that some SMEs, ill-equipped for developing structured controls or outcome-based contracts, will instead seek a partnership based on trust [4]. So, an external partnership based on trust will reduce the need to resort to formalized IT governance mechanisms in SMEs.

All these considerations related to the SME’s external links lead to the following propositions:

P4. A SME’s external links through outside and independent directors, networks, and IT partners will have a positive impact on the owner-manager’s and key employees’ decision to adopt ITG mechanisms.

P5. The higher the level of institutional trust between a SME and its IT external partner, the less its owner-manager and key employees will be swayed towards ITG mechanisms adoption.

3.3. Consequences of ITG mechanisms

It has been suggested that there may be a positive correlation between ITG mechanisms and different organizational performance measures as captured into Kaplan and Norton’s balanced scorecard framework [52]. Bradley et al. [66] have established that ITG has a positive impact on different measures of hospital performance. The underlying assumption is that effective IT governance would enhance IT impacts on the organizational performance.

The idea that the ultimate effectiveness of ITG mechanisms adopted in organizations should be appreciated in terms of IT contribution to business value (IT business value) seems to be a largely shared understanding in ITG literature [3]. IT business value is defined as “the organizational performance impacts of information technology at both the intermediate process level and the organization-wide level, and comprising both efficiency impacts and competitive impacts” [67, p. 287]. More precisely, Weill and Ross [44, p. 26] suggested assessing the ITG effectiveness against the achievement of four IT-enabled
organizational objectives: “cost-effectiveness, asset utilization, business growth and business flexibility”.

As the effectiveness of IT initiatives and investments is one of the priorities of ITG [66], any failure with regards to IT initiatives hints to the failure of ITG. For example, failure of outsourced information systems may be seen as a failure of ITG [4]. In the same way, the under-exploitation of IT business value in SMEs [6] can be blamed on weaknesses of their ITG. So, the adoption of ITG mechanisms may have a positive impact on IT business value in SMEs, hence the following proposition:

P6. The adoption of IT governance mechanisms in a SME will improve its IT business value.

3.4. Control variables: size, sector, and age

We previously underscored the necessity of carefully considering the heterogeneous nature of SMEs. All of these enterprises share some particularities, but they may also present some differences due to their size (very small-, small-, medium-sized), industry sector, or their age. All these factors are taken into account in the proposed research framework as control variables.

The size of firms (in terms of the number of employees or in terms of revenues) is generally positively associated with the adoption of innovations [68, 69]. More precisely, in the field of ITG, it has been advanced that there may be a positive correlation between the firm's size and ITG effectiveness [52]. The same authors posit that organizations operating in information intensive sectors or in sectors characterized by high levels of uncertainty are more likely to effectively implement ITG mechanisms than organizations in less information intensive sectors, or in less uncertain environments. The firm's age can also explain differences between SMEs with regard to ITG mechanisms adoption. After reporting mixed results in literature on this topic, Mohamed et al. [52] formulate the hypothesis that a firm's age would be negatively correlated with ITG effectiveness.

4. Conclusion

Theories and frameworks generally referred to in the field of CG in general, and in ITG in particular, have mainly been developed in the context of large enterprises. In this study we analyzed the main theories applied in CG and ITG research, and confronted them with the specificities of SMEs. This confrontation allowed us to highlight the limits of these theories in the SME’s context, and to discuss adaptations needed or alternative theories in such context. Building on these developments, we then proposed a conceptual framework of ITG in SMEs.

We have shown that the mainstream agency theory does not fare well when applied in the context of SMEs. So, the proposed conceptual framework is based on a combination of alternative theories: upper echelon theory, stakeholder theory, resource-dependence theory, institutional theory, and the theory of institutional trust. In accordance with these developments, we th...
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